

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,745	08/19/2003	Chung-Chih Wu	0698-0156P	3775
2292	7590 10/18/2005	EXAMINER		
BIRCH STE PO BOX 747	WART KOLASCH &	LOKE, STEVEN HO YIN		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2811	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/642,745	WU ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Steven Loke	2811	
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	nis communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FR - Extensions of time may be available undurafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the second o	OM THE MAILING DA er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ate of this communication. the maximum statutory period w period for reply will, by statute, in three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
,	2b)⊠ This n condition for allowan	ly 2005 and 27 July 2005. action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45		
Disposition of Claims				
4)	is/are withdraw wed. ected. jected to.			
Application Papers				
Applicant may not request to Replacement drawing sheet	is/are: a) acce hat any objection to the o t(s) including the correcti	r.  epted or b)  objected to by the £  drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See  on is required if the drawing(s) is obj  aminer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89	2)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (P10-89)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/27/05.</li> </ul>	ving Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		

Application/Control Number: 10/642,745

Art Unit: 2811

- 1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The light-to-heat conversion layer is any one of the upper electrode and the lower electrode in claim 42.
- 2. Claims 11-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11, line 4, the phrase "a reconfigurable light-emitting device" is unclear whether it is a device similar to the organic light-emitting device in the preamble.

Claim 11, line 7, the phrase "the reconfigurable organic light-emitting layer" is unclear whether it is being referred to "the layer of the reconfigurable organic light-emitting device".

Claim 12, lines 1-2, the phrase "the layers of the reconfigurable organic lightemitting device" is unclear because claim 11 only discloses a layer of the reconfigurable organic light-emitting device.

Claim 15, line 2, claim 16, line 2, claim 17, line 2, the phrase "the organic light-emitting layers" is unclear as to where are they being formed in the reconfigurable organic light-emitting device.

Claim 20, line 7, claim 36, line 6, the phrase "a reconfigurable organic light-emitting device" is unclear because whether it is a device similar to the organic light-emitting device in the preamble

Application/Control Number: 10/642,745 Page 3

Art Unit: 2811

3. Claims 11, 20 and 36 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

4. Claims 1-10 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Loke whose telephone number is (571) 272-1657. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:20 am to 5:50 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sl October 15, 2005

Storon Lote
Princip Exercitive

Meron Soke